

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,497	07/18/2000	Yu Zheng	PAT-1238-DIV	9055
7.	590 11/13/2002			<u>.</u>
Raymond Sun 12420 Woodhall Way Tustin, CA 92782			EXAMINER	
			YIP, WII	YIP, WINNIE S
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	15
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/618,497	ZHENG, YU	$\wedge$				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Winnie Yip	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply \							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this con  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 S</u>	Sentember 2002						
	is action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,71 and 72</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,71 and 72</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s I Patent Application (PTC					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is an office action for a request for continued examination (RCE), filed September 9, 2002, of earlier application.

The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claims 1-4 and 71-72 are pending in the application.

### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on September 9, 2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US patent No. 6,098,349 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-4 and 71-72 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLeese '407 in view of Zheng '915.

McLeese '407 (see attachment attached with prior art office action) teaches a collapsible structure comprising a single first base panel (A) having a foldable frame members (C) partially covered by a fabric material (D) through a sleeve, a single second upper panel (E) having a foldable frame members (F) partially covered by a fabric material (G) through a sleeve, wherein the second upper panel having two opposite end edges being coupled to the opposite side of the outer periphery of the first base panel by interconnecting pieces (H) such that the first base and

' Application/Control Number: 09/618,497

Art Unit: 3637

second upper panels define an interior space therebetween, and the second panel (E) has a front edge between two opposite end edges defining an opening for ingress and egress to the interior Although McLeese does not define the opposite edges of the second upper panel each space. being extending beyond and coupled to the outer periphery of the first base panel by an extension. Zheng teaches a collapsible structure comprising a single first base panel (72a) having an outer periphery, a second upper panel which is formed by a plurality of sections (72b-72e) having opposite end edges (80a, 82a), wherein the opposite end edges (80a, 82a) of the second upper panel are coupled to the opposite sides (74a, 74c) of the outer periphery of the first base panel by interconnecting fabric pieces (i.e., 37, 37a, 37b) such that the opposite end edges of the upper panel being connected to and extending beyond the outer periphery of the base panel to define two extensions between the base and the upper panels and to define an enlarged interior space therebetween. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the collapsible structure of McLeese having the opposite edges of the upper panel being coupled to the opposite edges of the lower panel by interconnecting fabric pieces as taught by Zheng, as old and well known connecting concept, for allowing the edges of the upper panel being mounted and extending beyond the outer periphery of the base panel to provide a larger interior space area as desired.

Page 3

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 71-72 filed September 9, 2002 have been considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/618,497

Art Unit: 3637

In response to applicant's argument of that Zheng does not teach or suggest the collapsible structure having the upper panel having a single frame member as suggested by the applicant's invention, but the upper panel is not formed from the combined four separated panels as Zheng's structure, we agree that this is so, otherwise our rejection would have been entered under section U.S.C. 102 of the statute base on Zheng's reference. Applicant argues the patentability of claims 1-4 and 71-72 by individually addressing the references used to reject the claims. Applicant cannot show nonobviousness by attacking the references individually where, as here, the rejection is base on a combination of references. See <u>In re Keller</u>, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 19880; In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Zheng '915 is used as a teaching reference only to teach two opposite end edges of an upper panel can be coupled to and extending beyond an outer periphery of a lower panel as claimed which solves the same problem as defined by applicant. Whether or not the upper panel is made of a single piece or integrally connected by several pieces is not held the claimed invention overcome the prior art of record. The fact that it discloses additional structure not claimed is irrelevant.

Therefore, the discussions supra and in the previous action again apply.

## **ACTION IS FINAL**

4. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### **Inquiry Contacts**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 703-308-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:30), Second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3637

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

LANNA MAI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

wsv

November 4, 2002